

## Development of Polyvoltine breed, APMG249 of Silkworm *Bombyx Mori L.* for Hybrid Preparation Suitable to Tropical Regions of India

S. V. Seshagiri<sup>1</sup>, C. Ramesha<sup>1</sup>, Rajendra Prasad<sup>2</sup> and P. J. Raju<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Andhra Pradesh State Sericulture Research and Development Institute (APSSRDI), Kirikera – 515 211, Hindupur, Andhra Pradesh, India.

<sup>2</sup> Central Tasar Silkworm Seed Station, Arpa Nagar Colony, Ratanpur Road, Kargi Road Kota – 495 113, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

**email:** [seshu\\_apssrdi@rediffmail.com](mailto:seshu_apssrdi@rediffmail.com)

---

### ABSTRACT:

Even after introduction of bivoltine hybrids to the field, the popularity of Poly x Bivoltine hybrids have not come down and 85% of the raw silk produced in our country is from cross breeds. Enrichment of silkworm breeds and synthesis of new gene combinations by conventional breeding technique is one of the important tools. The genetic constitution of the selected genotypes and non-genetic variability produced by the environmental factors are the two key factors while development of a breed. Keeping these, five polyvoltine breeds *i.e.* SDMW1CT, ROSY, GD, GP3 and GP2 maintained at Andhra Pradesh State Sericulture Research and Development Institute were selected as initial resource material. By utilizing these, a total of twenty new combinations were prepared and their performance was evaluated. Based on evaluation index and superiority of the new combinations, three new combinations were identified and accordingly three breeding plans were designed. In the present paper out of these breeding plans, the breeding plan which led to the development of polyvoltine breed, APMG249 is discussed. The breeding line was stabilized for their quantitative and qualitative traits by F<sub>10</sub> generation and the performance was studied up to F<sub>12</sub> generation. During breeding, inbreeding coupled with appropriate selection procedures were carried out and the resultant was named as 'APMG249'. The newly developed polyvoltine breed was subjected for hybrid testing and field trials.

**Keywords:** Silkworm, Polyvoltine, Breeding, Development, Evaluation, Commercial Hybrid

**INTRODUCTION:**

Sericulture is the science that deals with the production of silk by rearing of silkworm. The silk is preferred over all other types of fibers due to its remarkable properties like water absorbency, heat resistance, dyeing efficiency and luster. The silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. is a lepidopteran economic insect known for the production of mulberry silk which is aptly named as “*the Queen of Natural Fibers*” and has been successfully retaining its esteemed position even in the face of growing competition from other man-made fibers. The mulberry silkworm is very delicate, highly sensitive to environmental fluctuations and unable to survive extreme natural fluctuation. Thus, the adaptability to environmental conditions in the silkworm is quite different from those of wild silkworm and other insects. Silkworm is one of the most important domesticated insects, which produces luxuriant silk thread in the form of cocoon by consuming mulberry leaves during larval period.

India enjoys the comfortable second position for the production of silk in the world next only to China. Traditionally sericulture in India is practiced in tropical environmental regions such as Karnataka, Tamil Nadu, Andhra Pradesh and West Bengal and to a limited extent in temperate region of Jammu and Kashmir. The existing tropical condition provides scope for exploiting the polyvoltine × bivoltine hybrid at commercial venture as they are very hardy and have tremendous capacity to survive and reproduce under fluctuating environmental climatic conditions. Bulk share of the silk production (85%) is accounting from cross breed hybrids and in southern India, it is being produced from the hybrid ‘PM x CSR2’. Though, it is very well adapted and popular its productivity and quality of silk is prominently low with high renditta, low neatness etc. For instance, the continuous exploitation of the indigenous polyvoltine races such as Pure Mysore in South India and Nistari in West Bengal which are strikingly known for their poor productivity has resulted in rather slow growth of the industry. Thus, breeding emphasizes the need for developing

## Journal of Agricultural Research

promising genotype of known genetic potential to increase the productivity in plants and animals (1). Earlier studies revealed that, silk quality couldn't be improved unless existing breeds are replaced or improved upon its economic characters. So, breed development and their utilization is a continuous process for the development of productive hybrids which in turn ensures the sustainable development of sericulture industry. Though the evolved polyvoltine breeds/hybrids have recorded better economic traits, but could not sustain for a long time in the field due to several inherent problems of the breeds. Keeping this view in India, silkworm breeding efforts for more than 50 years to evolve better breeds than indigenous PM have resulted many new breeds (2, 3, 4, 5, 6) and some of them are evaluated in the field (7, 8).

Though the evolved polyvoltines have recorded better economic traits, no new polyvoltine breed could get established in the field due to lack of bimodal emergence, shows cocoon colour variations, occasional tri-moultars and lower survival under field condition. Thus only PM is still used for production of crossbreed in south. . Hence, silkworm breeds play a vital role in the success of sericulture industry. Thus the breed development and improvement is a continuous process which aims at providing suitable genotypes with desired traits (9). Hence, prominent breeders and geneticists of Japan (10, 11) stressed the importance of polyvoltine breeding in the tropical regions of India. Keeping this in view, the breeding programmes at Andhra Pradesh State Sericulture Research and Development Institute (APSSRDI), Hindupur, Andhra Pradesh, India led to the development of many polyvoltines to replace the existing breeds.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS:**

Based on the genetic background and performance, five promising polyvoltine breeds such as SDMW1CT, ROSY, GD, GP3 and GP2 were chosen as the parental breeding resource material developed by APSSRDI, Hindupur, Andhra Pradesh, India. Involving

## Journal of Agricultural Research

these resource material, twenty possible new combinations *viz.*, SDMW1CT x ROSY, SDMW1CT x GD, SDMW1CT x GP3, SDMW1CT x GP2, ROSY x SDMW1CT, ROSY x GD, ROSY x GP3, ROSY x GP2, GD x SDMW1CT, GD x ROSY, GD x GP3, GD x GP2, GP3 x SDMW1CT, GP3 x ROSY, GP3 x GD, GP3 x GP2, GP2 x SDMW1CT, GP2 x ROSY, GP2 x GD and GP2 x GP3 were prepared and reared. The data on quantitative traits were collected *Viz.*, fecundity, cocoon yield per 10,000 larvae by number, cocoon yield per 10,000 larvae by weight (kg), survival rate (%), cocoon weight (g), cocoon shell weight (g), cocoon shell ratio (%) and filament length (m). The performance of each of the combinations were evaluated by employing evaluation index method (12) and based on the evaluation method and performance of the breeds; three combinations were identified and continued for further breeding as breeding lines. In the present paper, based on the outcome of one of the breeding plan, the development of polyvoltine breed, APMG249 is discussed (Fig.1). A productive new hybrid 'APMG249 x APS14' was isolated by utilizing APMG249 with a productive bivoltines breed 'APS14' and was subjected for limited field trials with the farmers.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

The rearing performance (Table 1) of new combinations was recorded on eight economic parameters *viz.*, fecundity, cocoon yield per 10,000 larvae by number, cocoon yield per 10,000 larvae by weight, survival rate, cocoon weight, shell weight, cocoon shell ratio and filament length. The fecundity ranged from 437 (GP2 x GD) to 510 (SDMW1CT x GP3) with an average of 473. With regard to yield per 10,000 larvae by number, the combinations such as GD x ROSY and GP3 x ROSY were recorded the highest (9377) and lowest in GP3 x GD (8784). Yield per 10,000 larvae by weight (kg) ranged to the maximum of 14.752 kg in SDMW1CT x GP2 and minimum of 11.602 kg in GP3 x GD. The survival rate found to the highest of 94.24 % in GP3 x ROSY and lowest of 85.23 % in GP3 x GD. The cocoon weight ranged from the maximum of 1.581 g (SDMW1CT x GP2) and

## Journal of Agricultural Research

minimum of 1.345 g (GP3 x GD) with an average of 1.455 g. The shell weight was maximum (0.262 g) in GD x SDMW1CT and minimum in ROSY x SDMW1CT (0.197 g) and shell ratio (%) was highest in GD x SDMW1CT (16.82) and lowest in GP3 x GP2 (14.31). The filament length varied between 753 m (SDMW1CT x GP2) to 609 m (GP3 x GP2) with an average of 667 m.

The new combinations performance data was subjected to multiple trait evaluation index method and the combinations *viz.*, SDMW1CT x GP2 (62.92), GD x SDMW1CT (61.77), ROSY x GP2 (61.29) were stood top and shown above 60 EI value (Table 2 and Table 3). Based on evaluation index and superiority of the new combinations, higher ranked three new combinations were identified and accordingly three breeding plans were separately designed. In the present paper out of these breeding plans, the breeding plan which led to the development of polyvoltine breed, APMG249 is discussed (Fig.1).

### **Performance of APMG249:**

Expression of various traits during the course of breeding revealed (Table 4) that the fecundity was found to be 520 at F<sub>1</sub> recorded to the maximum of 530 at F<sub>2</sub> and the trait showed the decreasing trend from F<sub>2</sub> to F<sub>4</sub> (488). For the trait cocoon yield per 10,000 larvae by number it was recorded to the maximum of 9401 (F<sub>4</sub>) and minimum of 8867 (F<sub>6</sub>). It was found between 13.986 kg (F<sub>7</sub>) and 11.490 kg (F<sub>6</sub>) with an overall average of 12.979 kg for the trait cocoon yield per 10,000 larvae by weight. Further, it revealed that maximum of 13.290 kg at F<sub>1</sub> showed a gradual decrease up to F<sub>3</sub> (13.019 kg) followed by fluctuations up to F<sub>8</sub> (12.985 kg) and in the later generations, the trait showed consistency. With regard to the trait survival rate ranged from the maximum of 93.15 % (F<sub>4</sub>) and to the minimum of 87.50 % (F<sub>6</sub>). Where as the cocoon weight which was recorded 1.422 g at F<sub>3</sub> showed a decreasing trend up to F<sub>6</sub> (1.327 g) followed by an increase in F<sub>7</sub> (1.525 g) and the decreasing trend up to F<sub>10</sub> (1.399 g). The line was recorded the overall average cocoon shell weight of 0.238 g over generations which was varied between 0.267 g (F<sub>7</sub>) to 0.223 g (F<sub>6</sub>) with CV of

## Journal of Agricultural Research

5.54 %. The trait, cocoon shell ratio showed wider fluctuations in different generations with 16.60 % at F<sub>1</sub> reached to the maximum of 18.06 % at F<sub>8</sub> and was fixed at 16.96 % by F<sub>12</sub>. The filament length of 692 m that recorded at F<sub>1</sub> showed fluctuations in various generations and exhibited consistency in later generations.

During breeding of polyvoltine breed 'APMG249', due focus was given on important economic traits. The segregating population was subjected to appropriate selection procedure at different stages of development. Emphasis was laid to select the cocoons of intermediate size and no selection pressure was applied during F<sub>1</sub> – F<sub>3</sub> and cellular rearing was carried out from F<sub>4</sub> generation with five replications. At the time of brushing, emphasis was given to high fecundity and good hatching percentage at every generation. The selected cocoons were subjected for sex separation to assess the cocoon, shell weight, cocoon shell ratio and for filament length. This method of individual selection was followed to facilitate the fixation of desired economic traits at a faster rate.

**Field trials of the new hybrid combination:** The newly developed hybrid combination with the newly evolved breed 'APM249 x APS14' was subjected to field trials and a total of 8750 dfls were tested and an average yield of 62.61kg /100 dfls was recorded.

The polygenic nature of the quantitative traits and role of different intensities of selection in changing the mean expression have been demonstrated in plants and animals (13). Selection cannot create new genes and however, it can increase the frequency of desirable genes existing in the population. Inbreeding of the hybrid combinations followed by selection of desirable combinations from the segregating population until the extraction of the new strains have involved careful study of genetic variability, phenotypic expression, nature and magnitude of heterosis at F<sub>1</sub> with respect to eight quantitative traits such as fecundity, cocoon yield per 10,000 larvae by number, cocoon yield per 10,000 larvae by weight, survival rate (%), cocoon weight (g), cocoon shell weight (g), cocoon shell ratio (%)

## Journal of Agricultural Research

and filament length (m). Silkworms breeding which has been in practice since many decades in Japan where in desirable goals were achieved with certain specific objectives (14) and attempts were made in India, to breed superior polyvoltine races which have met with little success (15, 16). The poor adaptability of the bivoltines to the fluctuating environmental conditions of the tropical climate makes them unsuitable for their commercial exploitation throughout the year (17). Though the evolved polyvoltine breeds/hybrids have recorded better economic traits could not sustain for a long time in the field due to several inherent problems of the breeds.

The fecundity, determined on the genotype of maternal parent and environmental conditions prevailing at the time of oviposition and it is one of the fitness components reflecting on the productivity. The trait, yield per 10,000 larvae by number which showed variations between generations during the course of breeding can be partially attributed to the influence of environmental factors and interaction of alleles. The overall improvement of productivity in the isolated strain confirms their superiority over their respective polyvoltine parents. These results are in conformity with the findings of earlier workers (18, 19). Survival rate is an important parameter which reflects the viability of the breed and the fluctuations observed for the trait among the generations during the course of breeding in all the evolved strains could be partially attributed to the influence of environmental conditions and the interaction of alleles responsible for expression of the trait (20). The cocoon weight is considered to reflect the vigor of the silkworm breed and similarly the cocoon shell weight showed wider variations in different generations during the course of breeding.

The cocoon shell weight was observed to be moderately heritable on positive response of the selection as revealed by other scientists (21, 22). In the present investigation, the response to selection for the trait was rather low and variations were observed in the initial generations and showed increase in the subsequent generations with little variations



**Journal of Agricultural Research**

and it may be attributed to the fact that selection of initial parents with higher shell ratio. The cocoon shell ratio indicated the downward and fluctuations during the breeding process and difficult to increase by selection which are corroborating the findings of other workers (21). However, the length of the filament is related to cocoon shell weight in the isolated lines and the results of the study are in agreement with the findings of others (23). Thus, the results of the present study are in agreement with the earlier workers (24, 25) who pointed out selection as the basic tool for generating desired hereditary changes in the improvement of commercial qualities of plants and animals. Selection increases the frequency of desirable genes to a certain extent in each generation and stability in the expression of important economic characters under study at later generations indicates the fixation of desirable traits (26).

**REFERENCES:**

1. Yokoyama, T. (1979). Silkworm selection and hybridization. In: Genetics in relation to insect management. *Working Papers. The Rockefeller Foundation Management*. pp. 71-83.
2. Ragavendra Rao, D.; Ravindra Singh; Kariappa, B.K.; Basavaraja, H.K. and Dandin, S.B. (2005). Development of a robust polyvoltine x Bivoltine hybrid ND5 x CSR17 of mulberry silkworm *Bombyx mori* L. *Indian J. Seric.*, 44 (02):195-201.
3. Dandin, S.B.; Ravindra Singh; Ragavendra Rao, D.; Basavaraja, H.K. and Kariappa, B.K (2007). Studies on the isolation of promising multivoltine breed ND7 and its F1 hybrid performance with productive bivoltine races. *Indian J. Seric.*, 46(1): 52-58.
4. Nirupama, R and Ravindra Singh (2007). Androgenetic development in F1 hybrids of the mulberry silkworm *Bombyx mori* L, *Uttar Pradesh J. Zool.*, 27(2): 247-250.
5. Rama Mohana Rao, P., Qadri, S.M.H., Nirmal Kumar, S., Premalatha, V., Dayananda, Joge, P.G., Radhalakshmi, Y.C and Shivakumar, K.P. (2012). Identification of polyvoltine x bivoltine hybrids of the silkworm *Bombyx mori* L. with superior fiber quality: A breakthrough in silkworm breeding. *Indian J. Seric.*, 51(2): 128-142.
6. Rajanna, G.S., Latha, R., Venkatesh, H., Promod, K.V., Nagesh, M., Parashivamurthy, H.R., Phaniraj, H.S., Mahadevaiah, B.M., Rajendra Mundkar, Krishna Rao, S., and Patil, C.S. (2013). Post authorization field performance of improved cross breed MH1 x CSR2. *Abstract- National Conference on Recent Advances in Modern Biology and Sericulture for Women Empowerment and Rural Development (RAMBSWERD), KSSRDI, Bangalore, 24-26 October 2013. P: 85.*



7. Umadevi, K.; Sudha, V.N.; Prakashmurthy, D.P.; Ragavendra Rao, D.; Ravindra Singh; Premalatha, V and Kariappa, B.K (2005). Evaluation of new multivoltine x bivoltine hybrid "Cauvery" (Bl67 x CSR101) of the silkworm *Bombyx mori* L. *Indian J. Seric.*,44(01):131-133.
8. Sudha, V.N.; Umadevi, K.; Rama Mohana Rao, P.; Ravindra Singh; Ragavendra Rao, D.; Basavaraja, H.K.; Kariappa, B.K Premalatha, V.; Dandin, S.B. and Kamble, C.K. (2007). Evaluation of new multivoltine x bivoltine hybrid ND7 x CSR2 (Jayalakshmi) of the silkworm *Bombyx mori* L. *Indian J. Seric.*,46(2):131-133.
9. Raju, P.J. (1990). Studies on the hybridization and synthesis of new breeds of silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Mysore, Mysore.
10. Mano, Y.; Nirmal Kumar, S.; Basavaraja, H.K.; Mal Reddy, N. and Datta, R.K. (1993). A new method to select promising silkworm breeds/combinations. *Indian Silk* 31(10): 53.
11. Tazima, Y. (1958). Report on sericulture industry in India. Central Silk Board, Bombay, India. pp. 1-49.
12. Mahadevappa, R. (2006). Evolution of superior bivoltine races for tropical conditions. Ph. D. Thesis, Bangalore University, Bangalore.
13. Datta, R.K.; Pal, D.C.; Das, S.K. and Sengupta, K. (1981). Evaluation of superior multivoltine breeds through mutation breeding technique *Proc. Seric. Symp. Tamilnadu, India*, 39 – 50.
14. Harada, C. (1961). On the heterosis of quantitative characters in silkworm. *Bull. seric.Expt. stn.* 17(1): 50 – 52.
15. Darlington, C.D. (1939). The evaluation of genetic systems. Cambridge Univ. Pr. London.
16. Sengupta, K. (1969). An analysis of genotype environment interaction in some races of silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. *Indian J. Seric.* 8(1):4-10.
17. Lakshmi, H. (2008). Studies on development of bivoltine hybrids of silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. for tropical conditions of Andhra Pradesh. Ph.D. thesis, University of Mysore, Mysore.
18. Gamo, T. (1976). Recent concepts and trend in silkworm breeding. *Farming Japan* 10: 11-22.
19. Gower, J. C. (1971). A general co-efficient of similarity and some of its properties. *Biometrics* 27: 857-871.
20. Sudhakara Rao, P.; Datta, R.K. and Basavaraja, H.K. (2006). Evolution of thermo-tolerant bivoltine hybrid of the silkworm (*Bombyx mori* L.) for tropical climate. *Indian J. Seric.* 45(1): 15-20.

**Journal of Agricultural Research**

21. Falconer, D.S. (1981). Introduction to quantitative genetics. (Second Ed.) Longmans Press, London.
22. Tsuchiya, S. and Kurashima, H. (1959). Studies on the heritability of the measurable characters in *Bombyx mori*, L- Heritabilities in the reciprocal crossings of two strains from the strain groups. J. Seric. Sci. Jpn. 28: 313.
23. Basavaraja, H.K. (1996). Studies on the synthesis of productive bivoltine strains of *Bombyx mori* L. through hybridization, selection and genetic evaluation. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Mysore, Mysore.
24. Chandrashekharaiyah, Sivaprasad, V., Lakshmi, H. and Basha, K. (2000). Development of silkworm breeds suitable for Andhra Pradesh conditions. In: 4th China International Silk Conference, Yinchuan, Ningxia, PRC, 2000, 4th May 2000, 356-361.
25. Seshagiri, S.V., Seetharamulu, J., Lakshmi, H., Sankar Naik, S. and Raju, P.J. (2013). Breeding of new potential crossbreed (*bombyx mori l.*), 'MSO3 x APS45' for Tropical regions, Int.J. Plant, Animal and Environ. Sci., 3(2): 222 – 230,
26. Lakshmi, H. and Chandrashekharaiyah, (2007). Identification of breeding resource material for the development of thermo-tolerant breeds of silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. J. Exp. Zool., India, 10(1): 55 – 63.



Table 1. Performance of new combinations prepared from the selected parents

| Sl.No. | New Combinations | Fecundity (No.) | Yield /10,000 Larvae |               | Survival Rate (%) | Cocoon Weight (g) | Shell Weight (g) | Shell Ratio (%) | Filament Length (m) |
|--------|------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
|        |                  |                 | No.                  | Wt. (kg)      |                   |                   |                  |                 |                     |
| 1      | SDMW1CT x ROSY   | 484             | 9344                 | 13.319        | 93.20             | 1.457             | 0.240            | 16.50           | 739                 |
| 2      | SDMW1CT x GD     | 472             | 9014                 | 12.656        | 90.71             | 1.399             | 0.222            | 15.84           | 644                 |
| 3      | SDMW1CT x GP3    | 510             | 8982                 | 12.299        | 89.21             | 1.362             | 0.217            | 15.90           | 640                 |
| 4      | SDMW1CT x GP2    | 509             | 9278                 | 14.752        | 92.87             | 1.581             | 0.254            | 16.09           | 753                 |
| 5      | ROSY x SDMW1CT   | 503             | 8883                 | 12.078        | 88.39             | 1.353             | 0.197            | 14.54           | 657                 |
| 6      | ROSY x GD        | 479             | 8982                 | 13.159        | 88.39             | 1.486             | 0.238            | 16.05           | 673                 |
| 7      | ROSY x GP3       | 473             | 8949                 | 12.161        | 89.71             | 1.353             | 0.223            | 16.44           | 617                 |
| 8      | ROSY x GP2       | 503             | 9245                 | 14.649        | 91.88             | 1.580             | 0.258            | 16.35           | 714                 |
| 9      | GD x SDMW1CT     | 488             | 9310                 | 14.472        | 93.37             | 1.559             | 0.262            | 16.82           | 698                 |
| 10     | GD x ROSY        | 466             | 9377                 | 13.378        | 93.70             | 1.477             | 0.238            | 16.15           | 692                 |
| 11     | GD x GP3         | 491             | 9179                 | 12.787        | 92.20             | 1.426             | 0.236            | 16.58           | 705                 |
| 12     | GD x GP2         | 456             | 9113                 | 14.585        | 91.30             | 1.488             | 0.222            | 14.89           | 624                 |
| 13     | GP3 x SDMW1CT    | 449             | 9080                 | 12.943        | 90.96             | 1.435             | 0.229            | 15.92           | 671                 |
| 14     | GP3 x ROSY       | 439             | 9377                 | 14.072        | 94.24             | 1.542             | 0.254            | 16.49           | 691                 |
| 15     | GP3 x GD         | 471             | 8784                 | 11.602        | 85.23             | 1.345             | 0.208            | 15.43           | 609                 |
| 16     | GP3 x GP2        | 449             | 8883                 | 13.448        | 87.39             | 1.500             | 0.215            | 14.31           | 613                 |
| 17     | GP2 x SDMW1CT    | 459             | 9014                 | 12.324        | 89.04             | 1.380             | 0.218            | 15.76           | 629                 |
| 18     | GP2 x ROSY       | 463             | 9344                 | 13.179        | 93.20             | 1.466             | 0.234            | 16.00           | 701                 |
| 19     | GP2 x GD         | 437             | 8949                 | 13.684        | 89.71             | 1.478             | 0.240            | 16.27           | 666                 |
| 20     | GP2 x GP3        | 454             | 8916                 | 12.783        | 88.72             | 1.427             | 0.218            | 15.25           | 610                 |
|        | <b>Average</b>   | <b>473</b>      | <b>9100</b>          | <b>13.216</b> | <b>90.67</b>      | <b>1.455</b>      | <b>0.231</b>     | <b>15.88</b>    | <b>667</b>          |
|        | <b>SD</b>        | <b>23</b>       | <b>187</b>           | <b>0.952</b>  | <b>2.42</b>       | <b>0.077</b>      | <b>0.018</b>     | <b>0.68</b>     | <b>41</b>           |
|        | <b>CV</b>        | <b>4.90</b>     | <b>2.05</b>          | <b>7.20</b>   | <b>2.67</b>       | <b>5.30</b>       | <b>7.81</b>      | <b>4.31</b>     | <b>6.20</b>         |

## Journal of Agricultural Research

Table 2. Evaluation index values of the new combinations

| Sl. No. | Combination    | Fecundity | Yield /10,000 larvae |       | Survival rate | Cocoon weight | Shell weight | Shell ratio | Filament length | Average EI value |
|---------|----------------|-----------|----------------------|-------|---------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|-----------------|------------------|
|         |                |           | No.                  | Wt.   |               |               |              |             |                 |                  |
| 1       | SDMW1CT x ROSY | 54.86     | 63.04                | 51.08 | 60.44         | 50.31         | 55.15        | 59.08       | 67.24           | 57.65            |
| 2       | SDMW1CT x GD   | 49.68     | 45.41                | 44.11 | 50.16         | 42.79         | 44.69        | 49.36       | 44.34           | 46.32            |
| 3       | SDMW1CT x GP3  | 66.10     | 43.67                | 40.36 | 43.99         | 38.02         | 41.94        | 50.28       | 43.35           | 45.96            |
| 4       | SDMW1CT x GP2  | 65.66     | 59.50                | 66.13 | 59.09         | 66.38         | 62.85        | 53.03       | 70.69           | 62.92            |
| 5       | ROSY x SDMW1CT | 63.07     | 38.39                | 38.04 | 40.57         | 36.86         | 30.93        | 30.37       | 47.54           | 40.72            |
| 6       | ROSY x GD      | 52.70     | 43.67                | 49.39 | 40.57         | 54.01         | 54.04        | 52.50       | 51.48           | 49.79            |
| 7       | ROSY x GP3     | 50.11     | 41.92                | 38.91 | 46.04         | 36.86         | 45.24        | 58.25       | 37.93           | 44.41            |
| 8       | ROSY x GP2     | 63.07     | 57.76                | 65.05 | 54.97         | 66.25         | 65.05        | 56.85       | 61.33           | 61.29            |
| 9       | GD x SDMW1CT   | 56.59     | 61.24                | 63.19 | 61.14         | 63.55         | 67.25        | 63.77       | 57.39           | 61.77            |
| 10      | GD x ROSY      | 47.08     | 64.78                | 51.70 | 62.50         | 52.85         | 54.04        | 53.92       | 55.91           | 55.35            |
| 11      | GD x GP3       | 57.89     | 54.22                | 45.49 | 56.33         | 46.27         | 52.94        | 60.27       | 59.11           | 54.07            |
| 12      | GD x GP2       | 42.76     | 50.69                | 64.38 | 52.59         | 54.26         | 44.69        | 35.60       | 39.41           | 48.05            |
| 13      | GP3 x SDMW1CT  | 39.74     | 48.94                | 47.13 | 51.19         | 47.43         | 48.54        | 50.67       | 50.99           | 48.08            |
| 14      | GP3 x ROSY     | 35.42     | 64.78                | 58.98 | 64.72         | 61.35         | 62.85        | 58.93       | 55.66           | 57.84            |
| 15      | GP3 x GD       | 49.24     | 33.11                | 33.04 | 27.53         | 35.83         | 36.99        | 43.49       | 35.96           | 36.90            |
| 16      | GP3 x GP2      | 39.74     | 38.39                | 52.44 | 36.46         | 55.81         | 40.84        | 27.10       | 36.95           | 40.96            |
| 17      | GP2 x SDMW1CT  | 44.06     | 45.41                | 40.63 | 43.29         | 40.34         | 42.49        | 48.32       | 40.64           | 43.15            |
| 18      | GP2 x ROSY     | 45.79     | 63.04                | 49.60 | 60.44         | 51.43         | 51.84        | 51.72       | 58.13           | 54.00            |
| 19      | GP2 x GD       | 34.55     | 41.92                | 54.91 | 46.04         | 52.98         | 55.15        | 55.72       | 49.75           | 48.88            |
| 20      | GP2 x GP3      | 41.90     | 40.13                | 45.45 | 41.93         | 46.40         | 42.49        | 40.78       | 36.21           | 41.91            |

## Journal of Agricultural Research

Table 3. Ranking of new combinations

| Rank | Name of the combination | Avg. EI value |
|------|-------------------------|---------------|
| 1    | SDMW1CT x GP2           | 62.92         |
| 2    | GD x SDMW1CT            | 61.77         |
| 3    | ROSY x GP2              | 61.29         |
| 4    | GP3 x ROSY              | 57.84         |
| 5    | SDMW1CT x ROSY          | 57.65         |
| 6    | GD x ROSY               | 55.35         |
| 7    | GD x GP3                | 54.07         |
| 8    | GP2 x ROSY              | 54.00         |
| 9    | ROSY x GD               | 49.79         |
| 10   | GP2 x GD                | 48.88         |
| 11   | GP3 x SDMW1CT           | 48.08         |
| 12   | GD x GP2                | 48.05         |
| 13   | SDMW1CT x GD            | 46.32         |
| 14   | SDMW1CT x GP3           | 45.96         |
| 15   | ROSY x GP3              | 44.41         |
| 16   | GP2 x SDMW1CT           | 43.15         |
| 17   | GP2 x GP3               | 41.91         |
| 18   | GP3 x GP2               | 40.96         |
| 19   | ROSY x SDMW1CT          | 40.72         |
| 20   | GP3 x GD                | 36.90         |

IJ



Table 4. Generation wise rearing performance of APMG249

| Generation      | Fecundity<br>(No.) | Yield /10,000<br>Larvae |               | Survival<br>Rate<br>(%) | Cocoon<br>Weight<br>(g) | Shell<br>Weight<br>(g) | Shell<br>Ratio<br>(%) | Filament<br>Length<br>(m) |
|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
|                 |                    | No.                     | Wt.<br>(kg)   |                         |                         |                        |                       |                           |
| F <sub>1</sub>  | 520                | 9300                    | 13.290        | 92.50                   | 1.434                   | 0.238                  | 16.60                 | 692                       |
| F <sub>2</sub>  | 530                | 9367                    | 13.199        | 91.00                   | 1.419                   | 0.234                  | 16.49                 | 687                       |
| F <sub>3</sub>  | 502                | 9267                    | 13.019        | 92.08                   | 1.422                   | 0.227                  | 15.95                 | 690                       |
| F <sub>4</sub>  | 488                | 9401                    | 13.190        | 93.15                   | 1.409                   | 0.224                  | 15.90                 | 697                       |
| F <sub>5</sub>  | 505                | 9357                    | 12.997        | 92.92                   | 1.395                   | 0.232                  | 16.63                 | 693                       |
| F <sub>6</sub>  | 456                | 8867                    | 11.490        | 87.50                   | 1.327                   | 0.223                  | 16.80                 | 649                       |
| F <sub>7</sub>  | 512                | 9233                    | 13.986        | 91.97                   | 1.525                   | 0.267                  | 17.50                 | 755                       |
| F <sub>8</sub>  | 495                | 9267                    | 12.985        | 91.83                   | 1.434                   | 0.259                  | 18.06                 | 747                       |
| F <sub>9</sub>  | 487                | 9300                    | 12.990        | 92.00                   | 1.406                   | 0.244                  | 17.33                 | 704                       |
| F <sub>10</sub> | 486                | 9217                    | 12.879        | 91.26                   | 1.399                   | 0.236                  | 16.87                 | 709                       |
| F <sub>11</sub> | 490                | 9200                    | 12.803        | 91.45                   | 1.414                   | 0.235                  | 16.62                 | 721                       |
| F <sub>12</sub> | 485                | 9267                    | 12.923        | 91.73                   | 1.412                   | 0.239                  | 16.96                 | 729                       |
| <b>Average</b>  | <b>497</b>         | <b>9254</b>             | <b>12.979</b> | <b>91.62</b>            | <b>1.416</b>            | <b>0.238</b>           | <b>16.81</b>          | <b>706</b>                |
| <b>SD</b>       | <b>21</b>          | <b>136</b>              | <b>0.561</b>  | <b>1.44</b>             | <b>0.044</b>            | <b>0.013</b>           | <b>0.61</b>           | <b>29</b>                 |
| <b>CV (%)</b>   | <b>4.24</b>        | <b>1.47</b>             | <b>4.33</b>   | <b>1.57</b>             | <b>3.11</b>             | <b>5.54</b>            | <b>3.64</b>           | <b>4.09</b>               |



Journal of Agricultural Research

Fig. 1. Breeding plan of APMG249

